arXiv:1202.2604v1 [math.AG] 13 Feb 2012

A Direct Formulation of Dieudonné Module Theory

Kezheng Li*

Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, China

Abstract. We define a Dieudonné module as the module of Dieudonné elements, and set up Dieudonné module theory in a simple way. Under this formulation we give explicit formulae for the duality and the corresponding differential operators.

0. Introduction

The Dieudonné module theory of commutative group schemes has been set up for more than fourty years (see [Dem] and [Laz]). It gives an embedding of the category of finite commutative group schemes over a perfect field k to the category of modules over the ring

$$A = W[F,V]/(FV-p, VF-p, Fa-a^{\sigma}F, Va-a^{\sigma^{-1}}V\ \forall a \in W)$$

(where $W = W(k)$, the ring of Witt vectors over k) as a full subcategory. Since then the study of commutative group schemes, especially over a field of characteristic $p > 0$, have almost been the study of Dieudonné modules.

However, for a long time the ways to formulate Dieudonné module theory had been quite indirect. Basically there were two ways: One was to set up Dieudonné module theory for p-divisible groups first, and then show that every finite commutative group scheme can be embedded into a p-divisible group as a closed subgroup scheme (see [Dem], [dJ1] or [Laz]); the other used crystalline cohomology (see [BBM] or [Me]). Of course these ways of formulation are by no means simple.

On the other hand, there was a simple definition of the Dieudonné module functor D, namely for a finite commutative group scheme over k such that both G and G^D are infinitesimal, define $D(G) = \mathrm{Hom}_k(G, \mathcal{W})$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is the Witt scheme over k (see [Dem] or [LO]). However, the proofs of the faithfulness of D were still quite indirect and by no means simple (see e.g. [dJ1]). Until recently we saw a direct proof of this by Pink (see [P]).

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 14H05, 14H10, 14G27

*Supported by NSFC of China, grant number 11031004

In this paper we follow this idea, and we define $D(G)$ to be a subset of "Dieudonné elements" in the structure ring of G. This is a simple and direct formulation.

For preparations, in §1 we write down some basic facts about differential operators, and in §2 we write down some basic facts about the Witt scheme. For the convenience of the reader, we write down complete proofs of the well-known facts. Then in §3 we give a direct formulation of Dieudonné module theory. In particular we give a simple proof of the faithfulness of D.

Under this formulation, it is natural to ask what are the Dieudonné elements of the Cartier dual. This problem is equivalent to giving an explicit isomorphism of the truncated Witt scheme $\mathcal{W}_{n,n}$ to its Cartier dual (under the usual coordinates). We give an answer in §4 for this problem: under the usual isomorphism

$$\mathcal{W}_{n,m} \cong \operatorname{Spec}(A_{n,m})$$

where

$$A_{n,m} = \mathbb{F}_p[x_0, ..., x_n]/(x_0^{p^{m+1}}, ..., x_n^{p^{m+1}})$$

(and $x_0, ..., x_n$ are **specially chosen** in the definition of Witt scheme), the following $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear functional on $A_{n,m}$

$$y(\prod_{i=0}^{n} x_i^{j_i}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 = ... = j_n = 0,\ j_0 = p^m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a "standard" Dieudonné element of $\mathcal{W}_{n,m}^D \cong \operatorname{Spec}(A_{n,m}^D)$, which gives a standard isomorphism $\mathcal{W}_{n,m}^D \to \mathcal{W}_{m,n}$. This fact is by no means obvious.

In §5 we give an explanation of Dieudonné elements as differential operators. On $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ we can define differential operators

$$D^{(p^i)} = \frac{1}{p^i!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{p^i}}{\mathrm{d}x^{p^i}} \qquad (0 \le i \le r)$$

which can also be defined on $R = \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. By elementary calculus there is a polynomial $\lambda_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_0, y_0, ..., x_r, y_r]$ such that for any $a, b \in R$,

$$D^{(p^r)}(ab) = \lambda_r(D^{(p^0)} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D^{(p^0)}, ..., D^{(p^r)} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D^{(p^r)})(a \otimes b)$$

Using this we explain the left invariant differential operators corresponding to the Dieudonné elements of the Cartier dual. For example, when $m = 0$ we have $\lambda_r \equiv \phi_r \pmod{p}$, where ϕ_r is the polynomial in the definition of the addition of $\mathcal{W}$. This gives another understanding of ϕ_r. This also gives a hint to the understanding via crystalline cohomology, because differential operator is a background of divided power.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank F. Oort, J.-M. Fontaine and P. Berthelot for their motivative discussions with me on this topic.

1. Some prerequisites on the calculus about group schemes

Thoughout this paper we denote by k a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. A finite group scheme $G = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ over k is called *infinitesimal* if R is a local ring. If G is commutative, denote by G^D its Cartier dual. Denote by $\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}}$ the category of finite commutative infinitesimal group schemes whose Cartier duals are also infinitesimal. An object $G \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$ is viewed as an "additive group scheme", and we denote by $a_G : G \times_k G \to G$ the binary operation (addition), $0_G : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to G$ the zero section, and $-1_G : G \to G$ the inverse. For convenience we denote by $a_{G,n} : G \times_k \overset{n}{\cdots} \times_k G \to G$ the addition of n factors ($n \geq 2$).

The facts in this section are basically well-known. We collect them together as an easy reference, but omit the proofs (which can all be found in [Li2]).

Let $G = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$. A k-differential operator $D : R \to R$ is called *left invariant* if the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \arrow[r, "D"] \arrow[d, "a_G^*"] & R \arrow[d, "a_G^*"] \\
R \otimes_k R \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id}_R \otimes_k D"] & R \otimes_k R
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.1}$$

Denote by $\bar{D} = 0_G^* \circ D : R \to k$, then by (1.1) we have

$$D = (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes_k \bar{D}) \circ a_G^* : R \to R \tag{1.2}$$

Hence D is determined by $\bar{D}$. Denote by $Diff(G/k)$ the set of all left invariant k-differential operators of G. Then (1.2) gives a canonical isomorphism of k-linear spaces

$$Diff(G/k) \to Hom_k(R, k) \cong R^D \tag{1.3}$$

where R^D is the structure ring of G^D. On the other hand, $Diff(G/k)$ has a k-algebra structure, and $Lie(G/k)$ can be viewed as a Lie subalgebra of $Diff(G/k)$.

Note that $Lie(G/k)$ is a "p-Lie algebra", i.e. for any $\theta \in Lie(G/k)$ we have $\theta^p \in Lie(G/k)$.

For any $D, D' \in Diff(G/k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D \circ D' &= (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes_k \bar{D}) \circ a_G^* \circ (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes_k \bar{D}') \circ a_G^* \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes_k \bar{D}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{R\otimes_k R} \otimes_k \bar{D}') \circ (a_G^* \otimes_k \mathrm{id}_R) \circ a_G^* \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes_k \bar{D} \otimes_k \bar{D}') \circ a_{G,3}^* \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D \circ D'} &= 0_G^* \circ (\mathrm{id}_R \otimes_k \bar{D} \otimes_k \bar{D}') \circ a_{G,3}^* \\ &= (\bar{D} \otimes_k \bar{D}') \circ a_G^* \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Note that the multiplication of R^D is given by $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\alpha \otimes_k \beta) \circ a_G^*$ $(\forall \alpha, \beta \in R^D)$. Thus (1.4) means that the map $Diff(G/k) \to R^D$ in (1.3) is a k-algebra isomorphism.

Let $I_0 \subset R$ be the maximal ideal, and denote $\omega_{G/k} = I_0/I_0^2$. Then canonically

$$\Omega^1_{R/k} \cong \omega_{G/k} \otimes_k R \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$Lie(G/k) \cong \omega^\vee_{G/k} = Hom_k(\omega_{G/k}, k) \tag{1.7}$$

as k-linear speces. Take $x_1, ..., x_n \in I_0$ such that their images $\bar{x}_1, ..., \bar{x}_n \in \omega_{G/k}$ form a k-basis of $\omega_{G/k}$. Then by (1.6) there exist $D_1, ..., D_n \in Der_k(R, R)$ such that $D_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ $(1 \le i, j \le n)$. From this one sees that all monomials $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ $(0 \le i_1, ..., i_n < p)$ are linearly independent over k. In particular, if $F_{G/k} = 0$, then $R \cong k[x_1, ..., x_n]/(x_1^p, ..., x_n^p)$. By induction (on m such that $F^m_{G/k} = 0$) one sees that in general case there exist a k-algebra isomorphism

$$R \cong k[x_1, ..., x_n]/(x_1^{p^{i_1}}, ..., x_n^{p^{i_n}}) \qquad (0 < i_1 \le ... \le i_n) \tag{1.8}$$

Summarizing,

Fact 1.1. Let $G = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}})$. Let $I_0 \subset R$ be the maximal ideal, and denote $\omega_{G/k} = I_0/I_0^2$.

i) The k-algebra $Diff(G/k)$ of left invariant differential operators is canonically isomorphic to R^D (the structure ring of G^D).

ii) The k-Lie algebra $Lie(G/k)$ of left invariant derivations is a p-Lie subalgebra of $Diff(G/k)$ over k, and there are canonical isomorphisms (1.6) and (1.7).

iii) Let $n = \dim_k(\omega_{G/k}) = \dim_k(Lie(G/k))$. Then R has structure (1.8) as a k-algebra, where $x_1, ..., x_n$ generate the maximal ideal of R.

Denote by $\mathbb{G}_{a/k} = \mathrm{Spec}[t]$ whose (additive) group scheme structure is given by $a^*(t) = t \otimes_k 1 + 1 \otimes_k t$, $0^*(t) = 0$, $(-1)^*(t) = -t$. It is easy to see that any k-group scheme homomorphism $f : G \to \mathbb{G}_{a/k}$ is uniquely determined by an element $x = f^*(t) \in I_0$ satisfying $a_G^*(x) = x \otimes_k 1 + 1 \otimes_k x$. Let

$$\alpha(G) = \{x \in R | a^*(x) = x \otimes_k 1 + 1 \otimes_k x\} \tag{1.9}$$

Obviously $\alpha(G)$ has a k-linear space structure, called the *α-module* of G. The above argument shows that there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence

$$Hom_k(G, \mathbb{G}_{a/k}) \leftrightarrow \alpha(G) \tag{1.10}$$

View x as a linear functional on R^D, then (1.9) means for any $y, y' \in R^D$ we have $x(yy') = x(y)y' + yx(y')$, i.e. $x \in Der_k(R^D, R^D)$. Thus by Fact 1.1 there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence

$$\alpha(G) \leftrightarrow Lie(G^D/k) \tag{1.11}$$

Furthermore, for any homomorphism $f : G \to G'$ in $\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}}$, $H = \mathrm{coker}(f)$ gives an exact sequence $0 \to H^D \to G'^D \overset{f^D}{\to} G^D$, which implies a left exact sequence

$$0 \to Lie(H^D/k) \to Lie(G'^D/k) \xrightarrow{f_*^D} Lie(G^D/k) \tag{1.12}$$

By (1.11), this gives a canonical left exact sequence

$$0 \to \alpha(H) \to \alpha(G') \xrightarrow{f^*} \alpha(G) \tag{1.13}$$

Since $G^D \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$, when $G \neq 0$ we have $\omega_{G^D/k} \neq 0$, hence by (1.7) we have $Lie(G^D/k) \neq 0$, therefore by (1.11) we have $\alpha(G) \neq 0$. Let $f : G \to \mathbb{G}_{a/k}$ be a non-zero homomorphism, then $\mathrm{im}(f) \cong \alpha_{p^n}$ for some $n > 0$, hence f induces an epimorphism $f' : G \to \alpha_p$. By Fact 1.1.iii) we see α_p is a simple object in $\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}}$. Denote by $l(G)$ the length of G in $\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}}$. Note that $\ker(f') \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$. By induction on $l(G)$ we get a filtration

$$G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset ... \supset G_n = 0 \tag{1.14}$$

whose factors are all isomorphic to α_p. Hence

$$n = l(G), \quad \dim_k(R) = p^{l(G)} \tag{1.15}$$

Example 1.1. Let $G = \alpha_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{G}_{a/k}[F^n_{G/k}]$. Then $R \cong k[x]/(x^{p^n})$ (where x is the image of t, as above), and $\alpha(G)$ has a k-basis $x, x^p, ..., x^{p^{n-1}}$.

For any $x \in \alpha(G)$, obviously $x^p \in \alpha(G)$. If there are $x_1, ..., x_n \in \alpha(G)$ such that (1.8) holds, then by Example 1.1 one sees that

$$G \cong \alpha_{p^{i_1}} \times_k \cdots \times_k \alpha_{p^{i_n}} \tag{1.16}$$

If $F_{G^D/k} = 0$, then by Fact 1.1.iii), (1.15) and (1.10) we see that

$$l(G) = l(G^D) = \dim_k(\omega_{G^D/k}) = \dim_k(\alpha(G)) \tag{1.17}$$

Obviously $\alpha(\alpha_p) \cong k$. Hence by (1.13) and induction we see that R is generated by $\alpha(G)$ as a k-algebra, hence (1.16) holds. If $F_{G/k} = 0$ also, then $i_1 = ... = i_n = 1$, i.e. $G \cong \alpha_p^n$. In this case we say G is an *α-group*. The above argument shows that G is an α-group if and only if both $F_{G/k} = 0$ and $V_{G/k} = 0$.

It is easy to see that a homomorphism $\alpha_p^m \to \alpha_p^n$ is equivalent to a k-linear homomorphism $k^n \cong \alpha(\alpha_p^n) \to \alpha(\alpha_p^m) \cong k^m$. For any $G \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}})$, there is a largest α-subgroup $H \subset G$, in fact

$$H = \ker(F_{G/k}) \cap \ker(V_{G^{(p^{-1})}/k}) \tag{1.18}$$

Note that any homomorphism $\alpha_p \to G$ factors through H, hence the above argument shows that $Hom_k(\alpha_p, G)$ has naturally a k-linear space structure, and

$$\dim_k(Hom_k(\alpha_p, G)) = \dim_k(\alpha(H)) \tag{1.19}$$

We call $\dim_k(Hom_k(\alpha_p, G))$ the *a-number* of G, denoted by $a(G)$. The filtration (1.14) shows that $a(G) > 0$ if $G \neq 0$. Summarizing,

Fact 1.2. Let $G = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}})$.

i) There are canonical one-to-one correspondences

$$Hom_k(G, \mathbb{G}_{a/k}) \leftrightarrow \alpha(G) \cong Lie(G^D/k) \tag{1.20}$$

Furthermore, for any homomorphism $f : G \to G'$ in $\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, there is a canonical left exact sequence

$$0 \to \alpha(\mathrm{coker}(f)) \to \alpha(G') \xrightarrow{f^*} \alpha(G) \tag{1.21}$$

ii) G has a largest α-subgroup H given by (1.18), and (1.19) holds. In particular $a(G) \neq 0$ when $G \neq 0$.
iii) There is a filtration (1.14) whose factors are all isomorphic to α_p, where $n = l(G)$. Furthermore $\dim_k(R) = p^{l(G)}$.
iv) If $V_{G/k} = 0$, then R is generated by $\alpha(G)$ as a k-algebra, and G has a decomposition (1.16).
v) G is an α-group if and only if both $F_{G/k} = 0$ and $V_{G/k} = 0$.

Let $H \cong \alpha_p^r \subset G$ be as in Fact 1.2.ii), where $r = a(G)$. For any $H' \cong \alpha_p \subset H$, one can take $H'' \subset H$ such that $H = H' \times_k H''$. If $H'' \neq 0$, let $G_1 = H/H''$ and H_1 be the largest α-subgroup of G_1, then H' can be viewed as a subgroup scheme of H_1. If $H_1 \neq H'$, replace G and H by G_1 and H_1 respectively and repeat the above argument. By induction one eventually gets a quotient group scheme G' of G such that $H' \to G'$ is injective and $a(G') = 1$. Since H' is arbitrary, we can get $G_1, ..., G_r \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}})$ with $a(G_1) = ... = a(G_r) = 1$ such that there is a homomorphism

$$f : G \to G_1 \times_k \cdots \times_k G_r \tag{1.22}$$

whose restriction on H is injective. This means f is injective by Fact 1.2.ii), because $\ker(f) \cap H = 0$ (hence $a(\ker(f)) = 0$). Thus we have

Corollary 1.3. For any $G \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}})$ with $a(G) = r$, there exist $G_1, ..., G_r \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}})$ with $a(G_1) = ... = a(G_r) = 1$ such that there is a monomorphism f as in (1.22).

2. Some prerequisites about the Witt scheme

The definition of Witt scheme is as follows (see [Mu1, §23]). Fix a prime number p. For each integer $n \geq 0$, let

$$w_n(x_0, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} p^i x_i^{p^{n-i}} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, ..., x_n] \qquad (n = 0, 1, ...) \tag{2.1}$$

One can inductively define $\phi_n \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, y_0, ..., x_n, y_n]$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ such that for any $n \geq 0$,

$$w_n(x_0, ..., x_n) + w_n(y_0, ..., y_n) = w_n(\phi_0(x_0, y_0), ..., \phi_n(x_0, y_0, ..., x_n, y_n)) \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see two facts: One is that for any $f(t_1, ..., t_m) \in \mathbb{Z}[t_1, ..., t_m]$, the difference $f(t_1, ..., t_m)^p - f(t_1^p, ..., t_m^p)$ is divisible by p; the other is that $(x+py)^{p^m} - x^{p^m}$ is divisible by p^{m+1}. Hence the difference of

$$w_n(\phi_0(x_0, y_0)^p, ..., \phi_n(x_0, y_0, ..., x_n, y_n)^p) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$w_n(\phi_0(x_0^p, y_0^p), ..., \phi_n(x_0^p, y_0^p, ..., x_n^p, y_n^p)) \tag{2.4}$$

is divisible by p^{n+1}. But this difference is equal to $p^{n+1}(x_{n+1} + y_{n+1})$, hence $\phi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, y_0, ..., x_n, y_n]$. Similarly there are $\psi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, y_0, ..., x_n, y_n]$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ such that for any $n \geq 0$,

$$w_n(x_0, ..., x_n)w_n(y_0, ..., y_n) = w_n(\psi_0(x_0, y_0), ..., \psi_n(x_0, y_0, ..., x_n, y_n)) \tag{2.5}$$

Fact 2.1. Let $A_n = \mathbb{Z}[x_0, ..., x_n]$, $\mathcal{W}_n = \mathrm{Spec} A_n$. Define ring homomorphisms $a^* : A_n \to A_n \otimes A_n \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_0, ..., x_n, y_0, ..., y_n]$ and $m^* : A_n \to A_n \otimes A_n$ by $a^*(x_i) = \phi_i(x_0, y_0, ..., x_i, y_i)$ and $m^*(x_i) = \psi_i(x_0, y_0, ..., x_i, y_i)$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{W}_n$ has a commutative ring scheme structure (with 1), whose addition a (resp. multiplication m) is given by a^* (resp. m^*).

Proof. Let $B = A_n \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}[x_0, ..., x_n]$. Note that $w_0, ..., w_n$ give a ring homomorphism $f : A_n \to A_n$ such that $f_{\mathbb{Q}} = f \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}} : B \to B$ is an isomorphism. Define ring homomorphisms $a_B^* : B \to B \otimes B \cong \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, ..., y_0, y_1, ...]$ and $m_B^* : B \to B \otimes B$ by $a_B^*(x_n) = x_n + y_n$ and $m_B^*(x_n) = x_n y_n$ respectively. It is obvious that a_B^* and m_B^* define a ring scheme structure on $\mathrm{Spec}B$. Denote by $a_{\mathbb{Q}}^* = a^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}} : B \to B \otimes B$, and $m_{\mathbb{Q}}^* = m^* \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Q}} : B \to B \otimes B$. Then by the definitions above we have $a_B^* \circ f_{\mathbb{Q}} = (f_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes f_{\mathbb{Q}}) \circ a_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ and $m_B^* \circ f_{\mathbb{Q}} = (f_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes f_{\mathbb{Q}}) \circ m_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$. This shows that $a_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ and $m_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ define a commutative ring scheme structure on $\mathrm{Spec}B$. Since A_n is a subring of B, this shows that the addition a and multiplication m satisfy the axioms of ring scheme. Q.E.D.

We call $\mathcal{W}_n$ the *truncated Witt scheme* of level n. Note that $\mathcal{W}_0$ is the ring scheme structure of $\mathbb{A}^1$. For any $n > 0$ denote by $q_n : \mathcal{W}_n \to \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ the projection $(x_0, ..., x_n) \to (x_0, ..., x_{n-1})$, which is obviously a faithfully flat ring scheme homomorphism. Denote by $\mathcal{W}$ the formal limit $\varprojlim_n \mathcal{W}_n$, called the *Witt scheme*. By definition one also sees that the ideal $(x_0) \subset A_{n+1}$ defines a closed subgroup scheme of $\mathcal{W}_{n+1}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}_n$, i.e. the closed immersion $i_n : \mathcal{W}_n \to \mathcal{W}_{n+1}$ defined by $i_n^*(x_0) = 0$, $i_n^*(x_{i+1}) = x_i$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$ is a group scheme homomorphism. Furthermore, the morphism $V = V_n : \mathcal{W}_n \to \mathcal{W}_n$ defined by $V^*(x_i) = x_{i-1}$ $(\forall i > 0)$, $V^*(x_0) = 0$ (i.e. $(x_0, ..., x_n) \to (0, x_0, ..., x_{n-1})$) is an endomomorphism of the additive group scheme structure of $\mathcal{W}_n$, called the *Verschiebung* of $\mathcal{W}_n$. It is easy to see that

$$\ker(V_n) \cong \operatorname{coker}(V_n) \cong \mathcal{W}_0 \cong \mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{Z}} \tag{2.6}$$

The morphism $\tau = \tau_n : \mathcal{W}_0 \to \mathcal{W}_n$ defined by $x_0 \mapsto (x_0, 0, ..., 0)$ commutes with the multiplications (but not the additions when $n > 0$), called the *Teichmüller lifting*, which is a section of the projection $\mathcal{W}_n \to \mathcal{W}_0$.

From these one sees the following properties.

Corollary 2.1. Notation as above. For simplicity denote $X_i = (x_0, ..., x_i)$, $Y_i = (y_0, ..., y_i)$, $Z_i = (z_0, ..., z_i)$ and denote $\phi_i(x_0, y_0, ..., x_i, y_i)$ by $\phi_i(X_i, Y_i)$.

i) For each i,

$$\phi_i(X_i, Y_i) - x_i - y_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_0, y_0, ..., x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}] \tag{2.7}$$

in particular $\phi_0(x_0, y_0) = x_0 + y_0$.

ii) For each $i > 0$,

$$\phi_i(0, 0, x_0, y_0, ..., x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}) = \phi_{i-1}(x_0, y_0, ..., x_{i-1}, y_{i-1}) \tag{2.8}$$

iii) For each i there hold the co-commutativity

$$\phi_i(X_i, Y_i) = \phi_i(Y_i, X_i) \tag{2.9}$$

and co-associativity

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_i(x_0, \phi_0(Y_0, Z_0), x_1, \phi_1(Y_1, Z_1), ..., x_i, \phi_i(Y_i, Z_i)) \\ =&\phi_i(\phi_0(X_0, Y_0), z_1, \phi_1(X_1, Y_1), z_2, ..., \phi_i(X_i, Y_i), z_i) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

iv) For each monomial $cx_0^{m_0}y_0^{m'_0} \cdots x_i^{m_i}y_i^{m'_i}$ $(c \neq 0)$ of ϕ_i, it holds that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{i} (m_j + m'_j)p^j = p^i \tag{2.11}$$

In particular, when $i > 0$ we have $m_0 + m'_0 \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ p)$; when $i > 1$ we have $m_0 + m'_0 + p(m_1 + m'_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, etc..

Let $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ be a perfect field. Then by Fact 2.1 we see $W(k) = \mathcal{W}(k)$ is a complete DVR with maximal ideal (p) such that $W(k)/(p) \cong k$. It is elementary that such a DVR is unique up to isomorphism (whenever it exists). Furthermore, the Teichmüller liftings τ_n $(\forall n)$ give a multiplicative map $\tau = \tau(k) : k \to W(k)$ which is a section of the projection $W(k) \to k$ (also called "Teichmüller lifting"). Any element in $W(k)$ can be uniquely written as a series

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^i \tau(a_i^{p^{-i}}) = \tau(a_0) + p\tau(a_1^{p^{-1}}) + p^2\tau(a_2^{p^{-2}}) + \cdots \qquad (a_i \in k) \tag{2.12}$$

which can be simply expressed as $(a_0, a_1, a_2, ...)$, called a *Witt vector*. Note that the unit element in $W(k)$ is $(1, 0, 0, ...)$. By above, the addition and multiplication of $W(k)$ can be given by

$$\begin{aligned}&(a_0, a_1, a_2, ...) + (b_0, b_1, b_2, ...)\\ =&(\phi_0(a_0, b_0), \phi_1(a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1), \phi_2(a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2), ...)\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(a_0, a_1, a_2, ...) \cdot (b_0, b_1, b_2, ...)\\ =&(\psi_0(a_0, b_0), \psi_1(a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1), \psi_2(a_0, b_0, a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2), ...)\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

respectively.

By definition we have $p \cdot (a_0, a_1, a_2, ...) = (0, a_0^p, a_1^p, a_2^p, ...)$, which is equal to $F_{\mathcal{W}\otimes k/k} \circ V((a_0, a_1, a_2, ...)) = V \circ F_{\mathcal{W}\otimes k/k}((a_0, a_1, a_2, ...))$. Since each $\mathcal{W}_n$ is a variety, this shows that

$$F_{\mathcal{W}\otimes k/k} \circ V = V \circ F_{\mathcal{W}\otimes k/k} = p_{\mathcal{W}\otimes k} : \mathcal{W} \otimes k \to \mathcal{W} \otimes k \tag{2.15}$$

Note that $(a_0, a_1, a_2, ...) \mapsto F_{\mathcal{W}\otimes k/k}((a_0, a_1, a_2, ...)) = (a_0^p, a_1^p, a_2^p, ...)$ is a ring automorphism of $W(k)$, called the "Frobenius" of $W(k)$ and denoted by σ. Summarizing we have

Corollary 2.1. Let $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ be a perfect field.

i) Up to isomorphism, $W(k) = \mathcal{W}(k)$ is the unique complete DVR with maximal ideal (p) such that $W(k)/(p) \cong k$. The Teichmüller liftings τ_n $(\forall n)$ give a multiplicative map (Teichmüller lifting) $\tau = \tau(k) : k \to W(k)$ which is a section of the projection $W(k) \to k$.

ii) Any element in $W(k)$ can be uniquely written as a series of the form (2.12), which can be simply expressed as a Witt vector $(a_0, a_1, a_2, ...)$. In this way the addition and multiplication of $W(k)$ can be given by (2.13) and (2.14) respectively, with unit element $(1, 0, 0, ...)$.

iii) (2.15) holds on $\mathcal{W} \otimes k$.

iv) The Frobenius $\sigma : (a_0, a_1, a_2, ...) \mapsto (a_0^p, a_1^p, a_2^p, ...)$ is a ring automorphism of $W(k)$, and $\sigma \circ V = V \circ \sigma = p\cdot : W(k) \to W(k)$, where V satisfies $V((a_0, a_1, a_2, ...)) = (0, a_0, a_1, a_2, ...)$.

Now let $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. Denote by $W_n = \mathcal{W}_n \otimes k$, and $W_{n,m} = W_n[F^{m+1}_{W_n/k}]$ for any $m \geq 0$. Then each $W_{n,m}$ is a finite commutative group scheme, $W_{0,0} \cong \alpha_p$, and $W_{n,m}$ can be viewed as a closed subgroup scheme of $W_{n+1,m}$ or $W_{n,m+1}$. As a scheme,

$$W_{n,m} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x_0, ..., x_n]/(x_0^{p^{m+1}}, ..., x_n^{p^{m+1}}) \tag{2.16}$$

Fact 2.2. For any $m \geq 0$, the group scheme endomorphism $V_{n,m}$ of $W_{n,m}$ induced by V_n is equal to $V_{W_{n,m}/\mathbb{F}_p}$.

Proof. Note that $F_{W_{n,m+1}/\mathbb{F}_p}$ factors through $W_{n,m}$, inducing a faithfully flat homomorphism $q_m : W_{n,m+1} \to W_{n,m}$, whose composition with the embedding $i_m : W_{n,m} \to W_{n,m+1}$ is equal to $F_{W_{n,m+1}/\mathbb{F}_p}$. By (2.15) we have

$$V_{n,m+1} \circ F_{W_{n,m+1}/\mathbb{F}_p} = p_{W_{n,m+1}} \tag{2.17}$$

By the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W_{n,m+1} \arrow[r, "q_m"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & W_{n,m} \arrow[r, "V_{n,m}"] \arrow[d, "i_m"] & W_{n,m} \arrow[d, "i_m"] \\
W_{n,m+1} \arrow[r, "F_{W_{n,m+1}/\mathbb{F}_p}"] & W_{n,m+1} \arrow[r, "V_{n,m+1}"] & W_{n,m+1}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.18}$$

we see that

$$i_m \circ V_{n,m} \circ q_m = p_{W_{n,m+1}} \tag{2.19}$$

On the other hand, by the theory of commutative group schemes (cf. [Dem]) we have

$$V_{W_{n,m}/\mathbb{F}_p} \circ F_{W_{n,m}/\mathbb{F}_p} = p_{W_{n,m}} \tag{2.20}$$

Hence similarly we have

$$i_m \circ V_{W_{n,m}/\mathbb{F}_p} \circ q_m = p_{W_{n,m+1}} \tag{2.21}$$

Comparing (2.19) and (2.21), noting that i_m is injective and q_m is surjective, we see that $V_{n,m} = V_{W_{n,m}/\mathbb{F}_p}$. Q.E.D.

By (2.6) and Fact 2.2 we see that

$$W_n[V] \cong \mathrm{coker}(V_{W_n/k}) \cong \mathbb{G}_{a/k} \tag{2.22}$$

Hence $a(W_n) = 1$, and for any $m \geq 0$,

$$W_{n,m}[V] \cong \mathrm{coker}(V_{W_{n,m}/k}) \cong \alpha_{p^{m+1}} \tag{2.23}$$

Thus $a(W_{n,m}) = a(W^D_{n,m}) = 1$. Summarizing we have

Corollary 2.3. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, and denote by $W_n = \mathcal{W}_n \otimes k$ and $W_{n,m} = W_n[F^{m+1}_{W_n/k}]$ for any $m \geq 0$. Then

i) Each $W_{n,m} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}})$, $W_{0,0} \cong \alpha_p$, and $W_{n,m}$ can be viewed as a closed subgroup scheme of $W_{n+1,m}$ or $W_{n,m+1}$. The scheme structure of $W_{n,m}$ is given by (2.16).
ii) (2.22) and (2.23) hold.
iii) For any m, n, $a(W_n) = a(W_{n,m}) = a(W^D_{n,m}) = 1$.

3. Dieudonné elements and Dieudonné modules

Let $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ be a perfect field. We use the notation as above. Note that for any finite group scheme $G = \mathrm{Spec}R$ over k and any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $G^{(p^m)} = \mathrm{Spec}R^{(p^m)}$ makes sense since k is perfect. If G is commutative, any element $x \in R$ can be viewed as an element of $R^{(p^m)}$ via the ring isomorphism $R^{(p^m)} \cong R$, which will also be denoted by x, by abuse of notation. Hence $V^{m*}_{G/k}x$ can also be viewed as an element of R, simply denoted as $V^{m*}x$.

Definition 3.1. Let $G = \mathrm{Spec}R$ be a finite commutative (additive) group scheme such that $V^{n+1}_{G/k} = 0$. Denote by $M = \ker(0^*_G) \subset R$. An element $x \in M$ is called a *Dieudonné element* if

$$a^*_G(x) = \phi_n(V^{n*}x \otimes_k 1, 1 \otimes_k V^{n*}x, V^{n-1*}x \otimes_k 1, 1 \otimes_k V^{n-1*}x, ..., x \otimes_k 1, 1 \otimes_k x) \tag{3.1}$$

and called a *Dieudonné generator* if in addition that R is generated by x, V^*x, $V^{2*}x$,... $V^{n*}x$ as a k-algebra.

Note that by Corollary 2.1.ii), the above definition is independent of the choice of n.

In the following denote by $W_n = \mathcal{W}_n \otimes k$, and $W_{n,m} = \mathcal{W}_{n,m} \otimes k$. If $f : G \to W_n$ is a homomorphism of group schemes over k, then by Fact 2.1 we see that $f^*(x_n)$ is a Dieudonné element of G; conversely, if x is a Dieudonné element of $G = \mathrm{Spec}R$, then one can define a k-morphism $f : G \to W_n$ by $f^*(x_i) = V_{G/k}^{n-i*}(x)$ $(0 \leq i \leq n)$, which is a group scheme homomorphism by Fact 2.1 and Definition 3.1. Thus we can denote $f = f_x$.

Let $G = \mathrm{Spec}R$ be a finite commutative group scheme such that $V_{G/k}^{n+1} = 0$. Denote by $D(G) \subset R$ the set of all Dieudonné elements. For any $x, y \in D(G)$,

$$f_x + f_y : G \xrightarrow{\ \Delta\ } G \times_k G \xrightarrow{(f_x, f_y)} W_n \times W_n \xrightarrow{\ a\ } W_n \tag{3.2}$$

is also a homomorphism of k-group schemes, hence $(f_x + f_y)^*(x_n) \in D(G)$, denoted by $x \dot{+} y$. By Fact 2.1 we have

$$x \dot{+} y = \phi_n(V^{n*}x, V^{n*}y, V^{n-1*}x, V^{n-1*}y, ..., x, y) \tag{3.3}$$

Furthermore we have $-x = -1_G^*(x) \in D(G)$ and $0 \in D(G)$. By Corollary 2.1.iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &x \dot{+} y = y \dot{+} x,\ (x \dot{+} y) \dot{+} z = x \dot{+} (y \dot{+} z), \\ &x \dot{+} 0 = x,\ x \dot{+} (-x) = 0 \quad (\forall x, y, z \in D(G)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This means $D(G)$ has an "additive" commutative group structure under $\dot{+}$.

Note that an element $c \in W_n(k)$ can be viewed as a k-morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(k) \to W_n$. Any $x \in D(G)$ and $c \in W_n(k)$ gives a k-group scheme homomorphism

$$G \cong \mathrm{Spec}(k) \times_k G \xrightarrow{(c, f_x)} W_n \times W_n \xrightarrow{\ m\ } W_n \tag{3.5}$$

This corresponds to a Dieudonné element, denoted by $c \dot{\times} x$. If $c = (c_0, c_1, ..., c_n)$, by the definition of Witt scheme it is easy to see that

$$c \dot{\times} x = c_0^{p^n} x \dot{+} c_1^{p^{n-1}} p^* x \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} c_n p^{n*} x \tag{3.6}$$

In particular, if $c_1 = ... = c_n = 0$, then $c \dot{\times} x = c_0^{p^n} x$. Thus for any $c \in k$ and $x \in D(G)$ we have $cx \in D(G)$.

It is easy to see that if $x \in D(G)$ then $V^*x \in D(G)$ and $x^p = F^*x \in D(G)$, and by (3.5) it is easy to see that for any $c \in W_n(k)$,

$$F^*(c \dot{\times} x) = c^{\sigma} \dot{\times} F^* x, \quad V^*(c \dot{\times} x) = c^{\sigma^{-1}} \dot{\times} V^* x \tag{3.7}$$

Let $W = W(k) = \varprojlim_{n} W_n(k)$. Define the following W-algebra (usually non-commutative)

$$A = W[F, V]/(FV - p, VF - p, Fa - a^{\sigma}F, Va - a^{\sigma^{-1}}V \ \forall a \in W) \tag{3.8}$$

Then $D(G)$ has an A-mudule structure, thus we call $D(G)$ the *Dieudonné module* of G.

Obviously $\alpha(G) \subset D(G)$, and $D(G) = \alpha(G)$ when $V_{G/k} = 0$. In particular, when G is an α-group we have $\alpha(G) \cong k^{\oplus r}$ $(r = a(G))$, where each copy of k is viewed as the A-module $A/A(F, V)$.

It is easy to see that for a homomorphism $f : G = \mathrm{Spec}R \to G' = \mathrm{Spec}R'$ in $\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, the k-algebra homomorphism $f^* : R' \to R$ satisfies $f^*(D(G')) \subset D(G)$, hence induces an A-module homomorphism $D(G') \to D(G)$, also denoted by f^*. If f is an epimorphism and $H = \ker(f)$, then for any $x \in \ker(D(G) \to D(H))$, obviously the restriction of $f_x : G \to W_n$ on H is 0, hence f_x factors through G', thus $x \in f^*(D(G'))$; on the other hand, obviously $f^*(D(G')) \subset \ker(D(G) \to D(H))$, hence $f^*(D(G')) = \ker(D(G) \to D(H))$.

In particular, if $H \cong \alpha_p$, then there is an exact sequence $0 \to D(G/H) \to D(G) \to D(H)$ of A-modules, hence by Fact 1.2.ii) and induction we see that $D(G)$ has finite length as a W-module. Summarizing we have

Proposition 3.1. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, and $G = \mathrm{Spec}R$ be an infinitesimal commutative group scheme such that $V^{n+1*}_{G/k} = 0$. Let $D(G) \subset R$ be the set of all Dieudonné elements of G. Then

i) Each element in $D(G)$ is equivalent to a k-group scheme homomorphism $f_x : G \to W_n$ (satisfying $f^*_x(x_n) = x$).

ii) $D(G)$ has an A-mudule structure: the sum of any $x, y \in D(G)$ is given by $x \dotplus y$ in (3.3), the zero element is 0, and the negative element of any $x \in D(G)$ is $-x$; for any $c = (c_0, c_1, ..., c_n) \in W_n(k)$ and $x \in D(G)$, the product of c and x is given by $c \dot{\times} x$ in (3.6); $Fx = F^*_{G/k}x = x^p$, $Vx = V^*_{G/k}x$. Furthermore, for any $c \in k$ and $x \in D(G)$ we have $cx \in D(G)$, which is equal to $\tau(c^{p^{-n}}) \dot{\times} x$. As a W-module $D(G)$ has finite length.

iii) Let $f : G = \mathrm{Spec}R \to G' = \mathrm{Spec}R'$ be a homomorphism in $\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}}$. Then k-algebra homomorphism $f^* : R' \to R$ induces an A-module homomorphism $f^* : D(G') \to D(G)$ canonically. Hence D can be viewed as a contravariant functor from $\mathfrak{Ab}^{\mathrm{inf}}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ to $\mathfrak{M}_A$ (the category of A-modules). Furthermore, if f is an epimorphism, then the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \to D(G') \xrightarrow{f^*} D(G) \to D(\ker(f)) \tag{3.9}$$

iv) $\alpha(G) \subset D(G)$, and $D(G) = \alpha(G)$ when $V_{G/k} = 0$. In particular, if G is an α-group, then $D(G) \cong (A/A(F,V))^{\oplus r}$ $(r = a(G))$.

v) $\mathcal{W}_n$ has the following universality: for any perfect field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, any $G = \mathrm{Spec}(A) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$ and any $x \in D(G)$ satisfying $V_{G/k}^{n+1*}x = 0$, there exists a unique k-group scheme homomorphism $f : G \to \mathcal{W}_n \otimes k$ such that $f^*(x_n) = x$. And $\mathcal{W}_{n,m} = (\mathcal{W}_n \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)[F^{m+1}]$ has the following universality: for any perfect field $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$, any $G = \mathrm{Spec}(A) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$ and any $x \in D(G)$ satisfying $V_{G/k}^{n+1*}x = 0$ and $F_{G/k}^{m+1*}x = 0$, there exists a unique k-group scheme homomorphism $f : G \to \mathcal{W}_{n,m} \otimes k$ such that $f^*(x_n) = x$.

Denote by $W_{n,m} = \mathcal{W}_{n,m} \otimes k$. From Proposition 3.1 one easily sees that if $G = \mathrm{Spec}R$ is a closed subgroup scheme of some $W_{n,m}^r$, then R is generated by $D(G)$ as a k-algebra, and the maximal ideal $M = \ker(0^*) \subset R$ is generated by $D(G)$; conversely, if R is generated by $D(G)$ as a k-algebra, then G can be embedded into some $W_{n,m}^r$ as a closed subgroup scheme over k. The following is the "key fact".

Proposition 3.2. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, and $G = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$. Then G can be embedded into some $W_{n,m}^r$ as a closed subgroup scheme over k.

Proof. By Corollary 1.3 it can be reduced to the case $a(G) = 1$. For simplicity assume $F_{G/k}^{m+1} = 0$ and $V_{G/k}^{n+1} = 0$. By Fact 1.2.ii) we can take a closed subgroup scheme $H \subset G$ such that $G/H \cong \alpha_p$. Note that $a(H) \leq 1$, hence by induction we need only to prove that if H is a closed subgroup scheme of $W_{n,m-1}$, then G can be embedded into $W_{n,m}$ as a closed subgroup scheme. Note that G has a closed subgroup scheme $H' = G[F] \cap G[V] \cong \alpha_p$, and a homomorphism $f : G \to W_{n,m}$ is injective if and only if its restriction on H' is injective, because if $\ker(f) \cap H' = 0$ then $a(\ker(f)) = 0$, hence $\ker(f) = 0$ by Fact 1.2.i).

For times we will use the fact that the Cartier dual is an anti-equivalence of $\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}}$.

Let G_0 be the push-out of $H \hookrightarrow W_{n,m-1}$ and $H \hookrightarrow G$. Then $W_{n,m-1} \to G_0$ and $G \to G_0$ are closed immersions, and $G_0/W_{n,m-1} \cong \alpha_p$. Thus we need only to prove that G_0 can be embedded into $W_{n,m}^2$ as a closed subgroup scheme.

Note that $W_{n,m-1}$ is a closed subgroup scheme of $W_{n,m}$. Let G_1 be the push-out of $W_{n,m-1} \to W_{n,m}$ and $W_{n,m-1} \to G_0$. Then there is a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & W_{n,m-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \alpha_p \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & W_{n,m} \arrow[r] & G_1 \arrow[r, "\lambda"] & \alpha_p \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.10}$$

Let $G_i = \mathrm{Spec}(A_i)$, $W_{n,m-1+i} = \mathrm{Spec}(B_i)$ $(i = 0, 1)$. Since k is perfect, as a k-algebra A_1 is of the form $k[y_1, ..., y_r]/(y_1^{p^{n_1}}, ..., y_r^{p^{n_r}})$ by Fact 1.1.iii), and $B_1 \cong k[x_0, ..., x_n]/(x_0^{p^m}, ..., x_n^{p^m})$. Hence there are only two possible cases: either

$$A_1 \cong k[y_0, ..., y_n]/(y_0^{p^{m+2}}, y_1^{p^{m+1}}, ..., y_n^{p^{m+1}}) \tag{3.11}$$

or

$$A_1 \cong k[y_0, ..., y_{n+1}]/(y_0^{p^{m+1}}, ..., y_n^{p^{m+1}}, y_{n+1}^p) \tag{3.12}$$

If (3.11) holds, it is easy to see that $\ker(F^{m+1}_{G_1/k})$ has length $(m+1)(n+1)$, and $W_{n,m} \subset \ker(F^{m+1}_{G_1/k})$ also has length $(m+1)(n+1)$, hence $W_{n,m} = \ker(F^{m+1}_{G_1/k})$. Note that obviously $F^{m+1}_{G_0/k} = 0$, hence $G_0 \subset \ker(F^{m+1}_{G_1/k}) = W_{n,m}$.

Taking the Cartier dual of (3.10) we get a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \alpha_p \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & G_1^D \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "q"] & W^D_{n,m} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "q'"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \alpha_p \arrow[r] & G_0^D \arrow[r] & W^D_{n,m-1} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.13}$$

in which q and q' are faithfully flat. Note that $\ker(F_{W^D_{n,m}/k}) \cong \mathrm{coker}(V_{W_{n,m}/k})^D$ has length $m+1$ and $F^{n+1}_{W^D_{n,m}/k} = 0$, while $W^D_{n,m}$ has length $(m+1)(n+1)$, hence $B_1^D \cong k[y_0, ..., y_m]/(y_0^{p^{n+1}}, ..., y_m^{p^{n+1}})$ as a k-algebra. Thus the structure of A_1^D has only two possible cases: either

$$A_1^D \cong k[y_0, ..., y_m]/(y_0^{p^{n+2}}, y_1^{p^{n+1}}, ..., y_m^{p^{n+1}}) \tag{3.14}$$

or

$$A_1^D \cong k[y_0, ..., y_{m+1}]/(y_0^{p^{n+1}}, ..., y_m^{p^{n+1}}, y_{m+1}^p) \tag{3.15}$$

If (3.14) holds, it is easy to see that $B = \mathrm{Spec} k[\bar{y}_0^p, \bar{y}_1, ..., \bar{y}_m] \subset A_1^D$ gives G_1^D a quotient group scheme structure $\bar{G} \cong \ker(V^{n+1}_{G_1/k})^D$, whose length is $(m+1)(n+1)$, and obviously $B_1^D \subset B$, hence the projection $G_1^D \to \bar{G}$ induces $\bar{G} \cong W_{n,m}^D$. Note that obviously $V_{G_0/k}^{n+1} = 0$, hence $A_0^D \subset B$, therefore the projection q factors through $W_{n,m}^D$, showing that $G_0 \to G_1$ factors through $W_{n,m}$.

The remaining case is that (3.12) and (3.15) hold. Let $H_0 = \ker(F_{G_1/k})$. Then H_0 has length $n+2$, and H_0^D satisfies $F_{H_0^D/k}^{n+1} = 0$. Let R' be the structure ring of H_0^D. Then $R' \cong k[y_0, y_1]/(y_0^{p^{n+1}}, y_1^p)$. Since $V_{H_0^D/k} = 0$, by Fact 1.2.iii) we can take y_0, y_1 to be elements in $\alpha(H_0^D)$, hence $k[\bar{y}^{p^n}, \bar{y}_1] \subset R'$ gives a quotient group scheme H_1 of H_0^D (in fact $H_1 = \operatorname{coker}(F_{H_0^D/k})$, even without Fact 1.2 one can see $\operatorname{coker}(F_{H_0^D/k})$ has length 2, because $\ker(F_{H_0^D/k})$ has length 2). Note that $V_{H_1/k} = 0$ and $F_{H_1/k} = 0$, hence $H_1 \cong \alpha_p \times_k \alpha_p$ by Fact 1.2.v). Taking Cartier dual we get a closed subgroup scheme $H_1^D \cong \alpha_p \times_k \alpha_p$ of H_0. But by $a(W_{n,m}) = 1$ we see that $H_1^D \cap W_{n,m} \cong \alpha_p$, hence the projection $H_1^D \to G_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} \alpha_p$ is surjective. This gives a section of λ, thus $G_1 \cong W_{n,m} \times_k \alpha_p$. Q.E.D.

We now see several consequences of this proposition.

First, noting that the structure ring of $\mathcal{W}_{n,m}$ is generated by $x_0, ..., x_n \in D(\mathcal{W}_{n,m})$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra, we see R is generated by $D(G)$ as a k-algebra by Proposition 3.1.

Second, if $a(G) = 1$, letting $H \subset G$ be the unique subgroup scheme isomorphic to α_p, we can take $x \in D(G)$ such that the image of x in $D(H)$ is non-zero, thus $\ker(f_x)$ does not contain any subgroup scheme isomorphic to α_p, hence $\ker(f_x) = 0$, i.e. f_x is a closed immersion; conversely, if there exists $x \in D(G)$ such that f_x is a closed immersion, then by Corollary 2.3.iii) we see that $a(G) = 1$. In this case R is generated by x, V^*x, ..., $V^{n*}x$ as a k-algebra.

Therefore if $a(G) = 1$ and $F_{G/k}^{m+1} = 0$, $V_{G/k}^{n+1} = 0$, then G can be embedded into $W_{n,m}$ as a closed subgroup scheme. In this case if G has length $(m+1)(n+1)$, then the embedding $G \to W_{n,m}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, take $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, $G = \mathcal{W}_{m,n}^D$, then $F_{G/k}^{m+1} = 0$, $V_{G/k}^{n+1} = 0$ and G has length $(m+1)(n+1)$, hence $\mathcal{W}_{m,n}^D \cong \mathcal{W}_{n,m}$. In the next section we will specialize this isomorphism.

Third, we show that if $i : H \hookrightarrow G$ is a closed subgroup scheme, then the A-module homomorphism $i^* : D(G) \to D(H)$ is surjective. For any $\alpha_p \cong H' \subset H$, we can take $x \in D(G)$ such that the image $\bar{x}$ of x in $D(H')$ is non-zero. Denote $x' = i^*(x) \in D(H)$. For any $y \in D(H)$, if its image in $D(H')$ is $\bar{y} = c\bar{x}$ ($c \in k$), then by Proposition 3.1.iii) we see that $y \dotplus (-cx') \in \ker(D(H) \to D(H')) = D(H/H')$. By induction on the length of G, we may assume $D(G/H') \to D(H/H')$ is surjective, hence there exists $z' \in D(G/H') \subset D(G)$ such that $i^*(z') = y \dotplus (-cx')$. Let

$z = z' \dot{+} cx$, then we have

$$i^*(z) = i^*z' \dot{+} ci^*x = y \dot{+} (-cx') \dot{+} cx' = y \tag{3.16}$$

From this and Proposition 3.1.iii) we see that D is an exact functor. Furthermore, obviously D preserves direct sums, and by definition it is obvious that for any $G, G' \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$, the map $Hom_k(G', G) \to Hom_A(D(G), D(G'))$ given by D is a homomorphism of additive groups, hence D is an additive and exact contravariant functor of abelian categories.

In particular, if $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, noting that the powers of V gives a filtration of $\mathcal{W}_{n,m}$ whose factors are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a/k}[F^{m+1}]$, by Fact 1.2.iii) and inducition we see that $D(\mathcal{W}_{n,m})$ is generated by x_n as an A-module. Thus it is easy to see that

$$D(\mathcal{W}_{n,m}) \cong A/A(F^{m+1}, V^{n+1}) \tag{3.17}$$

Hence for any $G \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$, $D(G)$ is a finitely generated A-module such that the actions of F and V are both nilpotent, and $a(G) = 1$ if and only if $D(G)$ is generated by one element as an A-module.

By Fact 1.2.ii) we see $D(G)$ has a filtration whose factors are all isomorphic to $D(\alpha_p) \cong k$, hence the length of G is equal to $l_A(D(G))$. Summarizing we have

Corollary 3.1. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$.

i) If $G = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$, then R is generated by $D(G)$ as a k-algebra, and $l_A(D(G)) = l(G)$.

ii) $D : \mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}} \to \mathfrak{M}_A$ is an additive and exact contravariant functor of abelian categories.

iii) For $G = \mathrm{Spec}(R) \neq 0 \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$, the following conditions are equivalent:

  1) $a(G) = 1$;
  2) $D(G)$ is generated by one element as an A-module;
  3) There exists $x \in D(G)$ such that R is generated by all $V^{i*}x$'s as a k-algebra;
  4) G is isomorphic to a closed subgroup scheme of some $W_{n,m}$.

iv) If $G \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$ santisfies $a(G) = 1$ and $F_{G/k}^{m+1} = 0$, $V_{G/k}^{n+1} = 0$, $l(G) = (m+1)(n+1)$, then $G \cong W_{n,m}$. In particular $\mathcal{W}_{m,n}^D \cong \mathcal{W}_{n,m}$.

v) $D(W_{n,m}) \cong A/A(F^{m+1}, V^{n+1})$. This means that the elements of $D(W_{n,m})$ are the sums of some $c_{ij}x_i^{p^j}$ $(0 \leq i \leq n,\ 0 \leq j \leq m,\ c_{ij} \in k)$ under the addition $\dot{+}$.

By Corollary 3.1.iv) we see another basic property of $W_{n,m}$:

$$\dim_k Lie(W_{n,m}/k) = n+1, \quad \dim_k Lie(W_{n,m}^D/k) = m+1 \tag{3.18}$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}_A^0 \subset \mathfrak{M}_A$ be the full subcategory of all finitely generated A-modules such that the actions of F and V are both nilpotent. The above corollary already shows that the restriction $D : \mathfrak{Ab}_{\text{inf}}^{\text{inf}} \to \mathfrak{M}_A^0$ is an anti-equivalence of abelian categories. But we prefer to give D^{-1} directly as follows.

For any $M \in \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{M}_A^0)$, take n, m such that $F^{m+1} = V^{m+1} = 0$ on M, thus get an A-module epimorphism $g : (A/A(F^{m+1}, V^{n+1}))^{\oplus r} \to M$ for some r. Let R_0 be the structure ring of $G_0 = W_{n,m}^{\oplus r}$ and identify $D(G_0)$ with $(A/A(F^{m+1}, V^{n+1}))^{\oplus r}$. By Definition 3.1 it is easy to see that the ideal of R_0 generated by $\ker(g)$ defines a closed subgroup scheme $G \subset G_0$. By Corollary 3.1.ii) we have $D(G) \cong M$, and it is easy to check that G is uniquely determined by M up to isomorphism. Hence we can denote $G = D^{-1}(M)$.

Let $f : M \to M'$ be a morphism in $\mathfrak{M}_A^0$. Take an A-module epimorphism $g : (A/A(F^{m+1}, V^{n+1}))^{\oplus r} \to M$ as above, defining a closed immersion $G = D^{-1}(M) \hookrightarrow G_0$. Let $G' = D^{-1}(M')$, the by Corollary 3.1.ii) we see that $h = f \circ g : (A/A(F^{m+1}, V^{n+1}))^{\oplus r} \to M'$ induces a group scheme homomorphism $\eta : G' \to G_0$. By $h(\ker(g)) = 0$ we see that η induces a group scheme homomorphism $\phi : G' \to G$. It is easy to check that ϕ is uniquely determined by f up to isomorphism, and $D(\phi) = f$. Hence we can denote $\phi = D^{-1}(f)$.

The above procedure gives the inverse D^{-1} of D, showing D is an anti-equivalence of categories. Note that D is an anti-equivalence of abelian categories, because D and D^{-1} preserves direct sum (product), kernel and cokernel by their universalities. Summarizing we have

Theorem 3.1. For any perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$, let $\mathfrak{M}_A^0 \subset \mathfrak{M}_A$ be the full subcategory of all finitely generated A-modules such that the actions of F and V are both nilpotent. Then the restriction of the Dieudonné module functor $D : \mathfrak{Ab}_{\text{inf}}^{\text{inf}} \to \mathfrak{M}_A^0$ is an anti-equivalence of abelian categories, whose inverse D^{-1} is given by the above constructive procedure.

From the above arguments we also see that

Corollary 3.2. Let $G = \text{Spec}(R) \in \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\text{inf}}^{\text{inf}})$. Then an ideal $I \subset R$ defines a closed subgroup scheme if and only if I is generated by Dieudonné elements (in it).

4. Duality and quasi-polarizations

In §3 we see that $\mathcal{W}^D_{m,n} \cong \mathcal{W}_{n,m}$. In this section we give a "standard" isomorphism $\mathcal{W}^D_{m,n} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{W}_{n,m}$ explicitly. For this we need to give a Dieudonné element in $A^D_{n,m}$, the structure ring of $\mathcal{W}^D_{m,n}$. We go in an indirect way: first choose a Dieudonné element of $\mathcal{W}^D_{m,n}$, and then determine it as an $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear functional on $A_{n,m}$. For convenience denote $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $W_{n,m} = \mathcal{W}_{n,m}$. Again denote by $A_{n,m}$ the structure ring of $W_{n,m}$.

By Corollary 3.1, we know that there is a Dieudonné element $y \in D(W^D_{n,m}) \subset A^D_{n,m}$ such that $A^D_{n,m}$ is generated by all y, V^*y,..., $V^{m*}y$ as a k-algebra. Let $H \subset W^D_{n,m}$ be the closed subgroup scheme isomorphic to α_p. Then H^D is canonically isomorphic to the quitient group scheme of $W_{n,m}$ isomorphic to α_p, whose Dieudonné generator (i.e. gnenrator of its α-module) can be chosen to be $V^{n*}x_n^{p^m} = x_0^{p^m}$. Hence (replacing y by cy for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$) we can choose y so that $y(x_0^{p^m}) = 1$.

Note that $W_{0,0} \cong \alpha_p$, and by Fact 1.2.i) we have $D(\alpha_p^D) \cong Lie(\alpha_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$, whose generator y satisfies $y(x_0^i) = \delta_{i1}$ as a linear functional on $A_{0,0}$. Denote by $D_y \in Lie(\alpha_p/k)$ the left invariant derivation corresponding to y. Then by $a^*(x_0) = x_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_0$ it is easy to see that $D_y(x_0^i) = ix_0^{i-1}$, i.e. $D_y = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x_0}$.

In general case, note that

$$\begin{aligned} V^{i*}y(x_0^{p^{m-i}}) &= y(F^{i*}V^{n*}x_n^{p^{m-i}}) = y(V^{n*}x_n^{p^m}) = 1 \qquad (0 \le i \le m) \\ F^{i*}y(x_{n-i}^{p^m}) &= y(V^{i*}V^{(n-i)*}x_n^{p^m}) = y(V^{n*}x_n^{p^m}) = 1 \qquad (0 \le i \le n) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

and $V^{i*}y$ (resp. $F^{i*}y$) can be viewed as an element of $A^D_{n,m-i}$ (resp. $A^D_{n-i,m}$). If $y(V^{n*}x_n^{p^{m-1}}) = c$, replacing y by $y \dotplus (-cV^*y)$ we can reduce to the case $y(V^{n*}x_n^{p^{m-1}}) = 0$; similarlay, if $y(V^{(n-1)*}x_n^{p^m}) = c$, replacing y by $y \dotplus (-cF^*y)$ we can reduce to the case $y(V^{(n-1)*}x_n^{p^m}) = 0$. By induction we can eventually reduce to the case

$$y(x_{n-i}^{p^j}) = y(V^{i*}x_n^{p^j}) = (V^{r*}y)(x_{n-i}^{p^{j-r}}) = \delta_{in}\delta_{jm} \qquad (\forall i \le n, j, r \le j) \tag{4.2}$$

In the following we will show that, in this case

$$y(\prod_{i=0}^{n} x_i^{j_i}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 = ... = j_n = 0,\ j_0 = p^m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

as a k-linear functional.

For a monomial

$$\alpha = x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in A_{n,m} \tag{4.4}$$

define its "degree" to be

$$d(\alpha) = i_0 + pi_1 + \cdots + p^n i_n \tag{4.5}$$

For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_{n,m}^d \subset A_{n,m}$ be the k-linear subspace generated by all monomials of degree d. It is easy to see this defines a graded k-algebra structure on $A_{n,m}$. By Corollary 2.1.iv) we see that every monomial $\alpha \otimes \beta$ in $a^*(x_n) = \phi_n(x_0 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes x_0, ..., x_n \otimes 1, 1 \otimes x_n)$ satisfies $d(\alpha) + d(\beta) = p^n$, hence a^* is a graded homomorphism.

For a monomial α in (4.4), we can denote

$$a^*_{W_{n,m}}(\alpha) = \sum_{0 \leq i_0, \ldots, i_n < p^{m+1}} x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \otimes \alpha_{i_0, \ldots, i_n} \tag{4.6}$$

where $\alpha_{i_0,\ldots,i_n} \in A_{n,m}$. Suppose (4.3) holds. Then by (4.6) we have

$$D_y(\alpha) = \alpha_{p^m, 0, \ldots, 0} \tag{4.7}$$

From this we see that every monomial of $D_y(\alpha)$ has degree equal to $\deg(\alpha) - p^m$. Furthermore, by (4.1) and (4.3) we can get

$$V^{r*}y(\prod_{i=0}^n x_i^{j_i}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 = ... = j_n = 0, \ j_0 = p^{m-r} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

From this we can see that every term of $D_{V^{r*}y}(\alpha)$ has degree equal to $\deg(\alpha) - p^{m-r}$. Therefore we have

$$D_{V^{r*}y}(A_{n,m}^d) \subset A_{n,m}^{d-p^{m-r}} \qquad (\forall d, r) \tag{4.9}$$

In particular, when $d < p^{m-r}$ we have $D_{V^{r*}y}(A_{n,m}^d) = 0$.

We now show (4.3). First note that if $i : H = \mathrm{Spec}(R_1) \subset G = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is a closed immersion in $\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}}$ and $I = \ker(i^*) \subset R$, then by definition we know that $i^{D*} = i^{*D} : R_1^D \to R^D$ is a k-algebra monomorphism, whose image is

$$\{\beta \circ i^* | \beta \in R_1^D\} = \{\alpha \in R^D | \alpha(I) = 0\} \tag{4.10}$$

In particular, let $G = W_{n,m}$ and $H \subset G$ be the image of $F_{G/k}$, then $H = W_{n,m-1}$ and the Cartier dual of $i : H \to G$ can be viewed as the coimage of $V_{G^D/k}$, which is isomorphic to the image of $i^D : G^D \to H^D$. Hence

$$V^*(A^D) = \{\alpha \in R^D | \alpha((x_0^{p^m}, ..., x_n^{p^m})) = 0\} \tag{4.11}$$

By the definition of Dieudonné element we have

$$a^*_{W^D_{n,m}}(y) = \phi_m(V^{m*}y \otimes 1, 1 \otimes V^{m*}y, ..., y \otimes 1, 1 \otimes y) \tag{4.12}$$

Note that $\mu = a^{*\vee}_{W^D_{n,m}} : A_{n,m} \otimes A_{n,m} \to A_{n,m}$ (the dual of $a^*_{W^D_{n,m}}$) is the multiplication of $A_{n,m}$, and the left hand side of (4.12) is equal to $y \circ \mu$. Hence for any $x, x' \in A_{n,m}$ we have

$$D_y(xx') = \mu \circ \phi_m(D_{V^{m*}y} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D_{V^{m*}y}, ..., D_y \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D_y)(x \otimes x') \tag{4.13}$$

by Fact 1.1.i). Let $x = x_0^{p^m}$. Then by (4.2) and (4.13) we can see that

$$D_y(x_0^{p^m} x') = x' + x_0^{p^m} D_y(x') \tag{4.14}$$

Similarly, let $x = x_i^{p^m}$ $(i > 0)$. Then we get

$$D_y(x_i^{p^m} x') = x_i^{p^m} D_y(x') \qquad (\forall i > 0) \tag{4.15}$$

By (4.14) and (4.15), using induction we get

$$D_y((\prod_{i=0}^n x_i^{j_i})^{p^m} x') = \begin{cases} j_0(x_0^{j_0-1} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{j_i})^{p^m} x' + (\prod_{i=0}^n x_i^{j_i})^{p^m} D_y(x') & \text{if } j_0 > 0 \\ (\prod_{i=0}^n x_i^{j_i})^{p^m} D_y(x') & \text{if } j_0 = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

In particular, for any monomial $x' = \prod\limits_{i=0}^n x_i^{j_i}$ and any $j > 0$ we have $y(x_j^{p^m} x') = \overline{D_y(x_j^{p^m} x')} = 0$, and when $x' \neq 1$ we have $y(x_0^{p^m} x') = \overline{D_y(x_0^{p^m} x')} = 0$. Thus for (4.3), the remaining case is that $j_0, ..., j_n < p^m$.

Next we use induction on m. Let $y' = V^*y$, $\alpha = \prod\limits_{i=0}^n x_i^{j_i}$ $(j_0, ..., j_n < p^m)$. By induction hypothesis we have

$$V^*y(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 = ... = j_n = 0,\ j_0 = p^{m-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

Hence

$$y(\alpha^p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 = ... = j_n = 0,\ j_0 = p^{m-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.18}$$

The remaining case is that α is of the form $\prod_{i=0}^{n} x_i^{j_i}$ $(j_0, ..., j_n < p^m)$ and at least one j_i is not divisible by p. Let $x = x_i$, $x' = x_i^{j_i - 1} \prod_{l \neq i} x_l^{j_l}$, and we may assume $x' \neq 1$. It is easy to see that the right hand side of (4.13) is not in $(x_0, ..., x_n)$ if and only if the right hand side of (4.12) has a term $D^{p^n}_{V^{i*}y} \otimes D'$ such that $D'(x') \in k - \{0\}$. Such a term has degree $\geq p^{n+m-i}$. But by Corollary 2.1.iv) we know that such a term has degree p^m. If $n \geq m$, this can happen only when $n = m$, $D' = 1$ and $x' \in k$. Hence the right hand side of (4.13) is always in $(x_0, ..., x_n)$ when $n \geq m$, thus $y(xx') = \overline{D_y(xx')} = 0$.

We now use induction on n. Let $y' = y^p$. By (4.2) we have

$$y'(x_{n-i}^{p^j}) = \delta_{i(n-1)}\delta_{jm} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq n-1,\ 0 \leq j \leq m) \tag{4.19}$$

Note that the quotient group scheme of $W^D_{n,m}$ defined by $y' \in A^D_{n,m}$ is the image of $F_{G^D/k}$, whose Cartier dual is the closed subgroup scheme of $W_{n,m}$ given by the ideal (x_0), hence whose structure ring is generated by $x_1, ..., x_n$. By induction hypothesis we have

$$y'(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{j_i}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_2 = ... = j_n = 0,\ j_1 = p^m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$

Note that $y'(\alpha) = y(V^*\alpha)$, hence (4.20) gives

$$y(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i^{j_i}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 = ... = j_{n-1} = 0,\ j_0 = p^m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{4.21}$$

The remaining case is that $j_n > 0$. Let $x = x_n$. Then for any monomial x', by above we see that the right hand side of (4.13) is not in $(x_0, ..., x_n)$ if and only if the right hand side of (4.12) has a term $D^{p^n}_{V^{m*}y} \otimes D'$ such that $D'(x') \in k - \{0\}$. Such a term has degree $\geq p^n$ and $= p^m$, if $n \geq m$ this can only happen when $n = m$, $D' = 1$ and $x' \in k$. Hence when $n \geq m$ the right hand side of (4.13) is always in $(x_0, ..., x_n)$, thus $y(xx') = \overline{D_y(xx')} = 0$.

Therefor we can show that (4.3) holds whenever $m \leq n$. But for general n, m, since $W_{n,m}$ can be embedded into $W_{n+m,m}$, it ie easy to see (4.3) still holds. Summarizing we have

Theorem 4.1. Denote by $A_{n,m}$ the structure ring of $\mathcal{W}_{n,m}$. Then the linear functional $y \in A^D_{n,m}$ defined by (4.3) is a Dieudonné generator of $\mathcal{W}^D_{n,m}$. Furthermore,

i) For any $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq m$, $z = V^{j*}y^{p^i} \in D(\mathcal{W}_{n,m}^D)$ is the only Dieudonné element of $\mathcal{W}_{n,m}^D$ satisfying

$$z(x_r^{p^{m-s}}) = \delta_{ir}\delta_{js} \qquad (\forall r \leq n,\ j \leq m) \tag{4.22}$$

ii) (4.14) and (4.15) hold for any $x' \in A_{n,m}$.

iii) $A_{n,m}$ has a graded $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebra structure

$$A_{n,m} = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{(p^{n+1}-1)(p^{m+1}-1)/(p-1)} A_{n,m}^d \tag{4.23}$$

in which every monomial $\alpha = x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ is a homogeneous element of degree $d(\alpha) = i_0 + pi_1 + \cdots + p^n i_n$, and $A_{n,m}^d$ is the $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear subspace generated by monomials of degree d. For any $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq m$ we have

$$D_{V^{j*}y}^{p^i}(A_{n,m}^d) \subset A_{n,m}^{d-p^{i+m-j}} \qquad (\forall d) \tag{4.24}$$

In particular $D_{V^{j*}y}^{p^i}(A_{n,m}^d) = 0$ when $d < p^{i+m-j}$.

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Still denote $W_{n,m} = \mathcal{W}_{n,m} \otimes k$, $W = \mathcal{W} \otimes k$, and A the ring in (3.8). For any $G \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{k\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$, we now study the relationship of $D(G)$ and $D(G^D)$ as A-modules.

Take any monomorphism $i : G^D \hookrightarrow W_{n,m}^r$. Then $i^D : W_{n,m}^{Dr} \to G$ is an epimorphism, and any homomorphism $G^D \to W$ factors through $W_{n,m}$. Fix the standard isomorphism $W_{n,m}^D \cong W_{m,n}$ by Theorem 4.1. Then an element $y \in D(G^D)$ corresponds to a homomorphism $y^D : W_{m,n} \to G$, and this corresponds to an A-module homomorphism $y^{D*} : D(G) \to D(W_{m,n}) \cong A/(F^{n+1}, V^{m+1})$ by Corollary 3.1. This gives a mapping $f : D(G^D) \to Hom_A(D(G), A/(F^{n+1}, V^{m+1}))$. By the surjectivity of i^D we see f is injective; By Corollary 3.1 we see that any element of $Hom_A(D(G), A/(F^{n+1}, V^{m+1}))$ corresponds to a homomorphism $W_{m,n} \to G$, hence f is surjective also. It is easy to see that for any $a \in k$ and $x \in D(G)$, we have $\tau(a)\dot{\times}x = ax$, hence $f(\tau(a)\dot{\times}x) = \tau(a)f(x)$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that f is additive, and

$$f(Fy)(x) = (f(y)(Vx))^{\sigma},\ f(Vy)(x) = (f(y)(Fx))^{\sigma^{-1}} \qquad (\forall x \in D(G),\ y \in D(G^D)) \tag{4.25}$$

Thus $f(py) = pf(y)$, therefore f is a $W(k)$-linear isomorphism. Let $r = \min(m, n)$. For any $x \in D(G)$ and $y \in D(G^D)$, let $\langle x, y\rangle \in W_r(k)$ be the constant term of

$f(y)(x) \in A/(F^{n+1}, V^{m+1})$. In this way we express f as a $W(k)$-bilinear form $\langle , \rangle : D(G) \times D(G^D) \to W_r(k)$ which satisfies

$$\langle Fx, y\rangle = \langle x, Vy\rangle^{\sigma}, \quad \langle Vx, y\rangle = \langle x, Fy\rangle^{\sigma^{-1}} \qquad (\forall x \in D(G), y \in D(G^D)) \quad (4.26)$$

It is a perfect paring over $W(k)$.

We omit more facts about duality because they are more or less well-known. The only difference from the known formulation of duality is that $D(G)$ is a subset of the structure ring of G here.

5. The connection with differential operators

On the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ we can define differential operators $D^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, for any $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $D^{(n)}(\phi)$ is the coefficient of $\mathrm{d}x^n$ in the expansion of $\phi(x+\mathrm{d}x)$ as a polynomialof $\mathrm{d}x$. Therefore such differential operators $D^{(n)}$ can also be defined on $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

Note that since $x_0, ..., x_n$ are independent variables over $\mathbb{F}_p$ in the structure ring of $\mathcal{W}_n$, if we denote the differential operators

$$D_{i,j} = \frac{1}{p^{m-j}!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^{p^{m-j}}\Big|_0 \quad (5.1)$$

then Theorem 4.1.i) says that the $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear functional $V^{j*}y^{p^i}$ on $A_{n,m}$ can be understood as $D_{i,j}$ ($\forall 0 \le i \le n$, $0 \le j \le m$).

Example 5.1. In the case $n = 1$, by direct calculation we can get

$$\phi_1(x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1) = x_1 + y_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{(p-1)!}{i!(p-i)!} x_0^i y_0^{p-i} \quad (5.1)$$

Since we are studying $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes, we often use $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod p$ to rewrite the right hand side of (5.1) as

$$x_1 + y_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i!(p-i)!} x_0^i y_0^{p-i} \quad (5.2)$$

For any m, by Theorem 4.1 we see that $D(\mathcal{W}_{1,m}^D)$ has generators $y_j = V^{(n-j)*}y$ $(0 \le j \le m)$, which can be understood as $D_{0,j}$. If $m = 0$, by (5.2) it is not hard to get

$$D_{y_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + x_0^{p-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ D_{y_0}^p = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \quad (5.3)$$

For more details about the relations of Dieudonné modules with differential operators, we need some preparations. Note that the above differential operators $D^{(n)}$ $(\forall n)$ are commutative to each other.

Lemma 5.1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and p be a prime number. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$D^{(p^i)} = \frac{1}{p^i!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{p^i}}{\mathrm{d}x^{p^i}} \qquad (0 \le i \le r) \tag{5.4}$$

and denote $D = D^(1)$. Then

i) For the ideal $I = (p, x^{p^r}) \subset R$ and any $i < r$ we have $D^{(p^i)}(I) \subset I$, hence $D^{(p^i)}$ induces a differential operator on R/I (still denoted by $D^{(p^i)}$). Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Diff}(R/I, \mathbb{F}_p) &= Hom(R/I, \mathbb{F}_p) \\ &= \{h \circ f(D^{(p^0)}, D^{(p^1)}, ..., D^{(p^{r-1})}) | f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_0, ..., x_{r-1}]\}\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $h : R/I \to \mathbb{F}_p$ is the projection (modulo (x)), and we can take f to be polonomials whose exponents are all $< p$ (because $D^{(p^i)p} = 0$ for each i).

ii) There exists a polynomial with $2(r+1)$ variables $\lambda_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_0, y_0, ..., x_r, y_r]$, whose exponents are all $< p$, such that for any $a, b \in R$,

$$D^{(p^r)}(ab) = \lambda_r(D^{(p^0)} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D^{(p^0)}, ..., D^{(p^r)} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes D^{(p^r)})(a \otimes b) \tag{5.6}$$

iii) For any $i \ge 0$ we have $D^{(p^r)}(\mathbb{Z}[x^{p^i}]) \subset \mathbb{Z}[x^{p^i}]$, and the restriction of $D^{(p^r)}$ on $\mathbb{Z}[x^{p^r}]$ modulo p is a derivation.

Proof. We need to use several combinatorial facts. First, if $m = i_0 + i_1 p + i_2 p^2 + \cdots + i_r p^r$ $(0 \le i_0, ..., i_r < p)$, then

$$\frac{m!}{(p!)^{i_1} \cdots (p^r!)^{i_r}} \equiv i_0! i_1! \cdots i_r! \not\equiv 0 \pmod p \tag{5.7}$$

Note that the left hand side of (5.7) is the coefficient of the monomial

$$\alpha = x_1 \cdots x_{i_0} x_{i_0+1}^p \cdots x_{i_0+i_1}^p \cdots x_{i_0+\cdots+i_r}^{p^r} \tag{5.8}$$

in the polynimial $\phi = (x_1 + \cdots + x_{i_0+\cdots+i_r})^m$, and

$$\phi \equiv (x_1 + \cdots + x_{i_0+\cdots+i_r})^{i_0}(x_1^p + \cdots + x_{i_0+\cdots+i_r}^p)^{i_1} \cdots (x_1^{p^r} + \cdots + x_{i_0+\cdots+i_r}^{p^r})^{i_r} \pmod p \tag{5.9}$$

The coefficient of α in the right hand side is $i_0!i_1! \cdots i_r!$. Apply (5.7) to $m = p^r - 1 = (p-1)(1 + p + \cdots + p^{r-1})$ we get

$$1 = \frac{p^r!}{(p^r-1)!p^r} \equiv (-1)^r \frac{p^r!}{(p! \cdots p^{r-1}!)^{p-1} p^r} \pmod p \tag{5.10}$$

Then by induction we get

$$\frac{p^r!}{p^{(p^r-1)/(p-1)}} \equiv (-1)^r \pmod p \tag{5.11}$$

Applying (5.7) again, we see that for any $i < r$,

$$\frac{p^r!}{p^i!(p^r - p^i)!p^{r-i}} \equiv 1 \pmod p \tag{5.12}$$

By (5.11) we get

$$\frac{p^r!}{p^{r-1}!(p!)^{p^{r-1}}} \equiv 1 \pmod p \tag{5.13}$$

Then by induction we get

$$\frac{p^{r+s}!}{p^r!(p^s!)^{p^r}} \equiv 1 \pmod p \tag{5.14}$$

i) We need to check that $D^{(p^i)}(x^{p^r+m}) \in I$ for any $m \geq 0$. It is enough to consider the case when $m < p^i$. We have $D^{(p^i)}(x^{p^r+m}) = \frac{(p^r+m)!}{p^i!(p^r+m-p^i)!} x^{p^r+m-p^i}$. By (5.7) and (5.12) we have

$$\frac{(p^r+m)!}{p^i!(p^r+m-p^i)!} \equiv \frac{p^r!m!}{p^i!(p^r-p^i)!m!} \equiv 0 \pmod p \tag{5.15}$$

Note also that for any $m = i_0 + i_1 p + i_2 p^2 + \cdots + i_{r-1}p^{r-1}$ $(0 \leq i_0, ..., i_{r-1} < p)$,

$$D^{(p^0)i_0} \circ \cdots \circ D^{(p^{r-1})i_{r-1}}(x^m) = \frac{m!}{(p!)^{i_1} \cdots (p^{r-1}!)^{i_{r-1}}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod p \tag{5.16}$$

and for any $j \neq m$ we have $D^{(p^0)i_0} \circ \cdots \circ D^{(p^{r-1})i_{r-1}}(x^j) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x)}$. Hence (5.5) holds.

ii) We have $D^{(p^r)}(ab) = \sum\limits_{m=0}^{p^r} \frac{1}{m!(p^r-m)!} D^m(a) D^{p^r-m}(b)$, hence it is enough to express $\frac{1}{m!}D^m$ $(0 < m < p^r)$ as a polynimial of $D^{(p^0)}, ..., D^{(p^{r-1})}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Let $m = i_0 + i_1 p + i_2 p^2 + \cdots + i_{r-1}p^{r-1}$ $(0 \leq i_0, ..., i_{r-1} < p)$. By (5.7) we see there exists $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^*$ such that

$$\frac{1}{m!}D^m = c\frac{1}{(p!)^{i_1} \cdots (p^{r-1}!)^{i_{r-1}}} D^m = cD^{(p^0)i_0} \circ \cdots \circ D^{(p^{r-1})i_{r-1}} \tag{5.17}$$

By (5.17) we can see the uniqueness of λ_r.

iii) The first assertion is obvious. For any multiple m of p^r, decompose to $m = p^s q$, where $s \geq r$ and $p \nmid q$. By (5.7) we get $\frac{m!}{(m-p^s)!p^s!} \equiv q \pmod{p}$, hence by (5.12) we see that $\frac{m!}{(m-p^r)!p^r!} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ when $s > r$. Therefore $D^{(p^r)}(x^m) = \frac{m!}{p^r!(m-p^r)!}x^{m-p^r}$ is congruent to $\frac{m}{p^r}x^{m-p^r}$ modulo p in any case. This shows that the restriction of $D^{(p^r)}$ on $\mathbb{F}_p[x^{p^r}] \subset \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ is equal to $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y}$, where $y = x^{p^r}$.   Q.E.D.

View Spec(R) as $\mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{Z}}$, whose addition is given by $a^*(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{F}_p}$ has a closed subgroup scheme

$$H_r = \mathbb{G}_{a/\mathbb{F}_p}[F^{r+1}] \cong \alpha_{p^{r+1}} = \mathrm{Spec}(B_r) \tag{5.18}$$

where $B_r = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^{p^{r+1}})$. Each $D^{(p^i)}$ $(0 \leq i \leq r)$ gives a left invariant differential operator t_i satisfying $t_i(x^{p^j}) = \delta_{ij}$ $(0 \leq i, j \leq r)$. Note that $x, x^p, ..., x^{p^r}$ form a basis of the α-module of H_r. By Theorem 4.1 we see each $\bar{t}_i = t_i|_0 \in B_r^D$ is a Dieudonné element of H_r^D, where $\bar{t}_r$ is a Dieudonné generator, and $\bar{t}_i = V^{(r-i)*}\bar{t}_r$ $(0 \leq i \leq r)$. By $V_{H_r/\mathbb{F}_p} = 0$ we have $F_{H_r^D/\mathbb{F}_p} = 0$, hence $t_i^p = 0$ $(0 \leq i \leq r)$. Therefore $B_r^D \cong \mathbb{F}_p[y_0, ..., y_r]/(y_0^p, ..., y_r^p)$, where each y_i corresponds to $\bar{t}_i$, and (5.6) gives

$$a^*(y_i) = \lambda_i(V^{i*}y_i \otimes 1, 1 \otimes V^{i*}y_i, V^{i-1*}y_i \otimes 1, 1 \otimes V^{i-1*}y_i, ..., y_i \otimes 1, 1 \otimes y_i) \ (0 \leq i \leq r) \tag{5.19}$$

This shows that λ_i and ϕ_i take same values on B_r^D. Summarizing we have

Corollary 5.1. The structure ring of $\alpha_{p^{r+1}}^D$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p[y_0, ..., y_r]/(y_0^p, ..., y_r^p)$, whose co-addition is given by (5.19). Furthermore,

$$\lambda_i \equiv \phi_i \pmod{(p, y_0^p, ..., y_r^p)} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq r) \tag{5.20}$$

where ϕ_i is the polynomial defined in §2.

Example 5.2. In the case $r = 1$, we have ϕ_1 as in (5.1), while

$$\lambda_1(x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1) = x_1 + y_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{i!(p-i)!} x_0^i y_0^{p-i} \tag{5.21}$$

which is not in $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1]$, but congruent to ϕ_1 modulo p.

Let $k \supset \mathbb{F}_p$ be an algebraically closed field. It is easy to classify all $G = \mathrm{Spec}(A) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathfrak{Ab}_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\mathrm{inf}})$ of length 2 by Dieudonné module theory. Up to isomorphism $D(G)$ has only four possible cases:

$$(A/A(F, V))^{\oplus 2},\ A/A(F^2, V),\ A/A(F, V^2),\ A/A(F - V, p) \tag{5.22}$$

The first one corresponds to α_p^2; the secong one corresponds to α_{p^2}; and the third one corresponds to $\alpha_{p^2}^D$, whose group scheme structure is explained in Corollary 5.1. The last one corresponds to $E[p]$ for a supersingular elliptic curve over k, whose group scheme structure can be calculated using Theorem 3.1. We have $E[p] \cong \mathrm{Spec} k[x_1]/(x_1^{p^2})$, where x_1 satisfies

$$a^*(x_1) = x_1 \otimes_k 1 + 1 \otimes_k x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{j!(p-j)!} x_1^{jp} \otimes_k x_1^{(p-j)p},\ 0^*(x_1) = 0,\ (-1)^*(x_1) = -x_1 \tag{5.23}$$

References

[BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen & W.Messing: *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II*. LNM 930, Springer-Verlag (1982).

[BO] P. Berthelot & A. Ogus: *Notes on Crystalline Cohomology*. Princeton Univ. Press & Univ. Tokyo Press., Princeton (1978).

[dJ1] J. de Jong: Moduli of abelian varieties and Dieudonné modules of finite group schemes (Thesis). Univ. of Utrecht (1992)

[dJ2] A.J. de Jong: The moduli spaces of polarized abelian varieties. Math. Ann. 295 (1993), 485-503.

[Dem] M. Demazure: *Lectures on p-divisible Groups.* LNM 302, Springer-Verlag (1972).

[DG] M. Demazure & P. Gabriel - *Groupes algébriques, I.* Masson, Paris and North-Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam (1970).

[Ka] N. Katz: Slope filtration of F-crystals. Journées Géom. Algébr. Rennes 1978, Vol. I. Astérisque 63, Soc. Math. France (1979), 113-164.

[Laz] M. Lazard: *Commutative Formal Groups.* LNM 443, Springer-Verlag, (1975).

[Li1] K. Li: Classification of supersingular abelian varieties. Math. Ann. 283 (1989), 333-351

[Li2] Ke-Zheng Li: Differential Operators and automorphism schemes. Science China Mathematics Volume 53 Number 9 (2010), 2363-2380

[LO] Ke-Zheng Li & Frans Oort: *Moduli of Supersingular Abelian Varieties*, LNM 1680. Springer (1998)

[Ma] Yu. I. Manin: The theory of commutative formal groups over fields of finite characteristic. Usp. Math. 18 (1963), 3-90; Russ. Math. Surveys 18 (1963), 1-80.

[Me] W. Messing - *The Crystals Associated to Barsotti-Tate Groups: with Applications to Abelian Schemes.* LNM 264, Springer-Verlag (1972).

[Mu1] D. Mumford: *Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface*, Annals of Math. Studies 59, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1966)

[Mu2] D. Mumford: *Abelian varieties*, 2nd print, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. & Oxford Univ. Press (1974)

[Oo1] F. Oort: *Commutative group schemes*, LNM 15, Springer-Verlag (1966)

[P] Richard Pink: Finite group schemes, Lecture course in WS 2004/05, ETH, Zürich

[Ra] M. Raynaud: Schémas en groupes de type $(p, ..., p)$, Bull. Soc. math. France 102 (1974), 241-280

[S3.I] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck et al - Schemas en Groups (SGA 3) I, LNM 151, Springer-Verlag (1970)

[Si] J. H. Silverman: *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves.* GTM 106, Springer-Verlag (1986).

[SGA] A. Grothendieck et al.: *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique*, LNM225, 269, 270, 305. Springer-Verlag

[TO] J. Tate & F. Oort: Group schemes of prime order, Ann. Sc. Ecole Norm. Sup. 3 (1970), 1-21

Kezheng Li
Department of Mathematics
Capital Normal University
Beijing 100048, China
e-mail: kzli@gucas.ac.cn